

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/069,917

Applicant(s)

GRADISCHNIG ET AL.

Examiner

Juan A. Torres

Art Unit

2611

## All Participants:

(1) Juan A. Torres.

(2) Richard A. Neifeld.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 4 January 2007

Time: 12:05 PM (EST)

## Type of Interview:



Telephonic



Video Conference



Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

## Part III.


- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The Examiner asked for the status of the application. The Applicant's representative said that they didn't file response to the last Office action mailed on 06/23/2006. The Applicant's representative agreed the Patent Office to send the Abandonment Office action.

  
11/30/07  
TEMESGHEN GHEBREHINSAE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER